

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. C 09-01628 WHA

HTC CORP., HTC AMERICA, INC., LG
ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS
MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC., NOKIA
CORPORATION, NOKIA, INC., PALM, INC.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
SONY ERICSSON MOBILE
COMMUNICATIONS AB, and SONY
ERICSSON MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS
(USA), INC.,

Defendants.

**CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

After a case management conference, the Court enters the following order pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

1. Within **SEVEN CALENDAR DAYS**, plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why all defendants other than the first named defendant should not be dismissed for misjoinder under FRCP 21.
2. All initial disclosures under FRCP 26 must be completed by **JULY 31, 2009**, on pain of preclusion.
3. Leave to add any new parties or pleading amendments must be sought by **AUGUST 12, 2009**.

- 1 4. The claim-construction hearing will be held on **JANUARY 27, 2010, AT 1:30 P.M.**
2 Counsel shall meet and confer and propose a briefing schedule leading up to the
3 hearing. This must be filed by **AUGUST 12, 2009**, and entitled "PROPOSED ORDER RE
4 SCHEDULE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION" and send it by email to
5 whapo@cand.uscourts.gov.
- 6 5. For the claim-construction hearing, the parties must isolate no more than **SIX PHRASES**
7 in all claims at issue and limit the hearing to those phrases. All other phrases in dispute
8 will be determined at trial absent further order. In the Court's experience, most patent
9 cases turn on the meaning of only a few phrases. Once defined, the case will usually
10 resolve by motion or settlement. If it does not, then the Court will construe any
11 remaining phrases at issue during summary judgment or, at a minimum, before the jury
12 is instructed. Counsel must conduct the presentations at the claim-construction hearing.
13 Expert testimony will normally not be needed but all sides may have an expert present
14 to address points outside the intrinsic record should they arise. In the briefing on claim
15 construction, please include a precis of the eventual summary-judgment issues and how
16 claim construction differences may affect summary judgment. A tutorial for the Court
17 (to be conducted by counsel only, not experts) shall be set for **JANUARY 13, 2010**, at
18 **1:30 P.M.**
- 19 6. The non-expert discovery cut-off date shall be **JUNE 30, 2010**.
- 20 7. The deadline for producing opinions of counsel under Patent Local Rule 3-8 shall be
21 **28 CALENDAR DAYS** before the non-expert discovery cut-off, irrespective of the
22 timeline in said rule.
- 23 8. The last date for designation of expert testimony and disclosure of full expert reports
24 under FRCP 26(a)(2) as to any issue on which a party has the burden of proof
25 ("opening reports") shall be **JUNE 30, 2010**. Within **FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS**
26 thereafter, all other parties must disclose any responsive expert testimony with full
27 expert reports responsive to opening reports ("opposition reports"). Within
28 **SEVEN CALENDAR DAYS** thereafter, the opening parties must disclose any reply reports

rebutting specific material in opposition reports. Reply reports must be limited to true rebuttal and should be very brief. They should not add new material that should have been placed in the opening report. Without a reply report disclosure, no reply/rebuttal expert testimony will ordinarily be allowed. The cutoff for all expert discovery shall be **FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS** after the deadline for reply reports. In aid of preparing an opposition or reply report, a responding party may depose the adverse expert sufficiently before the deadline for the opposition or reply report so as to use the testimony in preparing the response. Experts must make themselves readily available for such depositions. Alternatively, the responding party can elect to depose the expert later in the expert-discovery period. An expert, however, may be deposed only once unless the expert is used for different opening and/or opposition reports, in which case the expert may be deposed independently on the subject matter of each report. At least **28 CALENDAR DAYS** before the due date for opening reports, each party shall serve a list of issues on which it will offer any expert testimony in its case-in-chief (including from non-retained experts). This is so that all parties will be timely able to obtain counter-experts on the listed issues and to facilitate the timely completeness of all expert reports. Failure to so disclose may result in preclusion.

9. As to damages studies, the cut-off date for *past damages* will be as of the expert report (or such earlier date as the expert may select). In addition, the experts may try to project *future damages* (*i.e.*, after the cut-off date) if the substantive standards for future damages can be met. With timely leave of Court or by written stipulation, the experts may update their reports (with supplemental reports) to a date closer to the time of trial.

10. At trial, the direct testimony of experts will be limited to the matters disclosed in their reports. Omitted material may not ordinarily be added on direct examination. This means the reports must be complete and sufficiently detailed. Illustrative animations, diagrams, charts and models may be used on direct examination only if they were part of the expert's report, with the exception of simple drawings and tabulations that

1 plainly illustrate what is already in the report, which can be drawn by the witness at
2 trial or otherwise shown to the jury. If cross-examination fairly opens the door,
3 however, an expert may go beyond the written report on cross-examination and/or
4 redirect examination. By written stipulation, of course, all sides may relax these
5 requirements.

6 11. To head off a recurring problem, experts lacking percipient knowledge should avoid
7 vouching for the credibility of witnesses, *i.e.*, whose version of the facts in dispute is
8 correct. This means that they may not, for example, testify that based upon a review of
9 fact depositions and other material supplied by counsel, a police officer did (or did not)
10 violate standards. Rather, the expert should be asked for his or her opinion based —
11 explicitly — upon an assumed fact scenario. This will make clear that the witness is
12 not attempting to make credibility and fact findings and thereby to invade the province
13 of the jury. Of course, a qualified expert can testify to relevant customs, usages,
14 practices, recognized standards of conduct, and other specialized matters beyond the
15 ken of a lay jury. This subject is addressed further in the trial guidelines referenced in
16 paragraph 19 below.

17 12. Counsel need not request a motion hearing date and may notice non-discovery motions
18 for any Thursday (excepting holidays) at 8:00 a.m. The Court sometimes rules on the
19 papers, issuing a written order and vacating the hearing. If a written request for oral
20 argument is filed before a ruling, stating that a lawyer of four or fewer years out of law
21 school will conduct the oral argument or at least the lion's share, then the Court will
22 hear oral argument, believing that young lawyers need more opportunities for
23 appearances than they usually receive.


24 13. The last date to file dispositive motions shall be **AUGUST 12, 2010**. No dispositive
25 motions shall be heard more than 35 days *after* this deadline, *i.e.*, if any party waits
26 until the last day to file, then the parties must adhere to the 35-day track in order to
27 avoid pressure on the trial date.
28

- 1 14. The **FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** shall be at **2:00 P.M.** on **OCTOBER 4, 2010**. For the
2 form of submissions for the final pretrial conference and trial, please see paragraph 19
3 below.
- 4 15. A **JURY TRIAL** shall begin on **OCTOBER 18, 2010**, at **7:30 A.M.**, in Courtroom 9,
5 19th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94102. The trial
6 schedule and time limits shall be set at the final pretrial conference. Although almost
7 all trials proceed on the date scheduled, it may be necessary on occasion for a case to
8 trail, meaning the trial may commence a few days or even a few weeks after the date
9 stated above, due to calendar congestion and the need to give priority to criminal trials.
10 Counsel and the parties should plan accordingly, including advising witnesses.
- 11 16. Counsel may not stipulate around the foregoing dates without Court approval.
- 12 17. While the Court encourages the parties to engage in settlement discussions, please do
13 not ask for any extensions on the ground of settlement discussions or on the ground that
14 the parties experienced delays in scheduling settlement conferences, mediation or ENE.
15 The parties should proceed to prepare their cases for trial. No continuance (even if
16 stipulated) shall be granted on the ground of incomplete preparation without competent
17 and detailed declarations setting forth good cause.
- 18 18. To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial,
19 the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain
20 of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the
21 case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle
22 has been reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a
23 fully executed agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where,
24 however, a fully-executed settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire
25 case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a
26 ministerial act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an
27 alternate procedure pending a formal dismissal.
28

19. If you have not already done so, please read and follow the “Supplemental Order to Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference in Civil Cases Before Judge William Alsup” and other orders issued by the Clerk’s office when this action was commenced. Among other things, the supplemental order explains when submissions are to go to the Clerk’s Office (the general rule) versus when submissions may go directly to chambers (rarely). With respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, please read and follow the “Guidelines For Trial and Final Pretrial Conference in Civil Jury Cases Before The Honorable William Alsup.” All orders and guidelines referenced in the paragraph are available on the district court’s website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>. The website also includes other guidelines for attorney’s fees motions and the necessary form of attorney time records for cases before Judge Alsup. If you do not have access to the Internet, you may contact Deputy Clerk Dawn K. Toland at (415) 522-2020 to learn how to pick up a hard copy.
20. The parties will arrange for private mediation, which must be completed by **JUNE 30, 2010**.
21. All pretrial disclosures under FRCP 26(a)(3) and objections required by FRCP 26(a)(3) must be made on the schedule established by said rule.
22. After the case is over, please fill out the appended “Suggestions Box” memo on an anonymous basis and send to Judge William Alsup, United States District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102. Your constructive criticism will be most appreciated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 16, 2009.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SUGGESTIONS BOX

Judge Alsup invites views of any counsel or party on how to improve the administration of justice in his courtroom. Please offer as much constructive criticism as you wish. (If there is any practice you particularly wish to stay in place, such comments would also be welcome.) Please do not identify yourself or your firm. Please comment on:

1. Case management —

2. Disclosure and discovery —

3. Motion practice —

4. Class actions and derivative actions —

5. Trials —

6. Fairness, bias, temperament, efficiency and demeanor —

7. Is there anything else about our district court you would like to improve?